UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/578,622	05/08/2006	Robert Andersen	06049	3711	
	7590 01/14/200 CHULTZ & MACDOI	EXAMINER			
1727 KING ST		PATEL, RITA RAMESH			
SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1792		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Symmony		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/578,622		ANDERSEN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			RITA R. PAT		1792				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the o	correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>08 Ma</i>	av 2006						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2b)⊠ This a		ı-final.					
3)		<i>,</i> —			osecution as to th	e merits is			
٠,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the	application.							
•			n from cons	ideration.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are rejected.								
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election req	uirement.					
	on Papers		·						
•	The specification is objected to by the			- \	b4b F				
10)[2]	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 May 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any object				. ,				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4 5 6) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di) Notice of Informal F) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "wheels" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,622 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the claimed "wheels" has not been described in the Specification with a corresponding reference numeral to the drawings. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sierra et al. herein referred to as "Sierra" (Patent No. 3,946,692) and further in view of Ajnefors (Patent No. 3,420,444).

Sierra teaches a device for cleaning ship's hulls and other immersed surfaces, comprising a plate 1 (rotary disc) which carries wheels 2, 3, 4 (spacing elements/wheels) and circular brushes 5, 6, 7. Hydraulic motors 8, 9, 10 (gear mechanism) are used for driving said brushes 5, 6, 7 by connection with sleeve 12. As seen in Figure 4, the wheels and circular brushes are both movable in rotational directions.

Sierra discloses the claimed invention except fails to teach the use of nozzles in combination with its invention. However, Ajnefors teaches a known jet nozzle 18 for washing cargo tanks of ships. Jet nozzle 18 may be oscillated and/or rotated about a

vertical axis and/or a horizontal axis. Ajnefors discloses that this type of jet nozzle controls more accurately the parts of the tank/hull being washed, operates quickly, and efficiently uses water and energy (col. 1, lines 32-36, inter alia). Therefore, for at least these beneficial reasons, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a cleaning nozzle as known in the art of washing ship's hulls, as taught by Ajnefors, in the combination with the brushes in the invention of Sierra to reap benefits in further controlling the specific areas of the hull being washed, increasing cleaning efficiency and saving time, money, and resources. Each brush of Sierra would be outfitted with a corresponding spray nozzle.

Ajnefors' disclosure of an angular nozzle reads on Applicant's claims for a nozzle being obliquely attached, and thus inherently having at least parallel, tangential, and radial velocity components.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to optimize the following features of this invention: the size and angular speed of the plate 1 (rotary disc) and the pressure of the water being supplied to the nozzles. Optimizing these features are commonly performed dependent on the number of spray nozzles being used, the size of the motor, the depth of the ship, the dirtiness of the ship, the size of the cleaning apparatus, etc. It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Lastly, Ajnefors fails to recite specific detail regarding the shape of the opening of its spray nozzle. It appears in Figure 1 of Ajnefors that the opening of the spray nozzle

Art Unit: 1792

is circularly shaped. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to also use a "slit shaped" opening in Ajnefors as claimed, since Applicant has not disclosed that a slit shaped opening solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with other commonly shaped nozzle openings and the selection of any of these known equivalents to provide equivalent jet spraying means would be within the level of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RITA R. PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-8701. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,622 Page 6

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/Rita R. Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792